

# US EPA and MARPOL Annex VI Air Pollution Updates

#### Presentation for AAPA Cruise Seminar Environmental Issues Impacting the Cruise Industry

Roxanne Johnson, US EPA, Pacific Southwest Region February 6, 2008





- Background
- Air Quality Need and Emission Inventory

#### **US EPA and MARPOL Annex VI Air Pollution Updates**

- **Future Activities** 
  - EPA's Sustainable Ports Strategy
- National Clean Diesel Campaign
  - DERA (Clean Diesel Funding)
  - West Coast Collaborative Marine and Port Sector Efforts



# Background

EPA addresses 3 types of marine diesel engines

- Category 1: >37 kW, up to 5 liters per cylinder
  » Similar in size to land-based nonroad engines
- Category 2: 5 to 30 liters per cylinder
  » Similar in size to locomotive engines



Category 3: at or above 30 liters per cylinder
 » Very large engines for propulsion on ocean-going vessels



# Background

- EPA has adopted emission standards for C1, C2, and C3 engines on U.S. vessels
  - <u>C1 & C2:</u> Engines below 2.5 liters per cylinder are subject to EPA Tier 2 standards beginning 2004
  - Engines at or above 2.5 liters per cylinder are subject to the MARPOL NOx limits beginning 2004 and more stringent Tier 2 standards beginning 2007
  - The standards cover NOx, PM, HC, and CO emissions





# Background

- Category 3: EPA adopted emission standards for C3 US flagged vessels in January 2003
  - In-cylinder controls to meet IMO NOx limits
  - We made a commitment to issue a second tier no later than April 2007 – we did not achieve that deadline
    - » Additional use and optimization of in-cylinder controls
    - » More advanced technologies (i.e., SCR and water injection)







We projected expected inventory reductions from combined Annex VI and Tier 2 standards in 2030 compared to uncontrolled:

-NOx: 26% -PM: 12%





# Air Quality Need and Emission Inventory

Air Quality is a National Priority

75% of the nation's risk from PM2.5 is in CA - 50% is in the LA area alone

Category 1, 2, and 3 marine diesel engines are significant contributors to our national mobile source emission inventory





# Inventory Overview for NOx

Marine diesel engines contribute significantly to air pollution mobile sources in the United States



Source of inventory estimates: C3 Marine ANPRM, 72 FR 69522 (Dec 7, 2007)



## Inventory Overview for PM2.5

2030 Mobile Source PM2.5 Inventory

The marine diesel contribution is expected to grow as emissions from other sources decrease

2001 Mobile Source PM2.5 Inventory (500,400 tons)





## Inventory Overview for SOx

SOx emissions are high due to the sulfur content of residual fuel used in C3 engines





# **Ocean-Going Vessels**

C3<sup>a</sup> Contribution to Selected Ports

| Port Area           | NOx | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | SOx |
|---------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|
| Valdez, AK          | 4%  | 10%               | 43% |
| Seattle, WA         | 10% | 20%               | 56% |
| Tacoma, WA          | 20% | 38%               | 74% |
| San Francisco, CA   | 1%  | 1%                | 31% |
| Oakland, CA         | 8%  | 14%               | 80% |
| LA/Long Beach, CA   | 5%  | 10%               | 71% |
| Beaumont, TX        | 6%  | 20%               | 55% |
| Galveston, TX       | 5%  | 12%               | 47% |
| Houston, TX         | 3%  | 10%               | 41% |
| New Orleans, LA     | 14% | 24%               | 59% |
| South Louisiana, LA | 12% | 24%               | 58% |
| Miami, FL           | 13% | 25%               | 66% |
| Port Everglades, FL | 9%  | 20%               | 56% |
| Jacksonville, FL    | 5%  | 11%               | 52% |
| Savannah, GA        | 24% | 39%               | 80% |
| Charleston, SC      | 22% | 33%               | 87% |
| Wilmington, NC      | 7%  | 16%               | 73% |
| Baltimore, MD       | 12% | 27%               | 69% |
| New York/New Jersey | 4%  | 9%                | 39% |
| Boston, MA          | 4%  | 5%                | 30% |
|                     |     |                   |     |

Emissions from OGVs can be important in specific ports (2002; source: 2007 ANPRM)

<sup>a</sup> This category includes emissions from Category 3 (C3) propulsion engines and C2/3 auxiliary engines used on ocean-going vessels.



# **Cruise Ships**

Engines on cruise ships are a subset of all marine diesel engines

- Cruise ships have all three types of engines
  - » C3 for propulsion power
  - » C1 and C2 for auxiliary power

Virtually all cruise ships that use U.S. waters and ports are flagged outside the U.S.







Soon to release tighter standards for Locomotive and Marine C1 and C2 final rulemaking

- Released Final rule in November 2007 to extend the regulatory deadline for C3 marine engines from April 27, 2007 to December 17, 2009
- Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for C3 marine engines released in November 2007
  - Comments due March 6, 2008

#### Category 1 (<5 liter/cylinder)

#### **Commercial**

#### What our Rules Cover---**Marine Diesels**



police boats







sailboats

#### **Recreational**

cruisers



yachts





gen sets



workboats





auxiliary power for ocean-going vessels

ferries





**Great Lakes freighters** 

**Category 3** (>30 liter/cyl)



ocean-going ships (separate rule)

Page 14



# US EPA Updates

Locomotive and Marine C1 and C2 rulemaking

- Final rule soon to be released

- March 2007 we proposed more stringent PM and NOx exhaust emission standards for locomotives and marine diesel engines.

- We proposed a three-part program:

- (1) tightening emission standards for existing locomotives when they are remanufactured,

- (2) setting near-term engine-out emission standards (Tier 3), for newly-built locomotives and marine diesel engines; and

- (3) setting longer-term standards (Tier 4), for newly-built locomotives and marine diesel engines that reflect the application of high-efficiency aftertreatment technology.







# US EPA Update

#### **C3** ANPRM

- Comments due March 6, 2008

- Significant contributors to our national mobile-source emission inventory

- Largely based on a proposal submitted by the United States government to the 11th meeting of the Subcommittee on Bulk Liquids and Gases at the International Maritime Organization, held in April 2007. The standards under consideration consist of two tiers of NOx emission standards and performance-based SOx and PM standards, could began as early as 2011

#### C3 Final Rulemaking

- New deadline has been established for a final rule: December 2009 Page 16



# IMO Annex VI Update

#### MARPOL

 International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973, as Modified by the Protocol of 1978 Relating Thereto (MARPOL 73/78)

#### Annex VI

 Regulations for the Prevention of Air Pollution from Ships (1997 Protocol)





■ MARPOL Annex VI entered into force as of May 19, 2005.

Senate gave advice and consent on April 6, 2006.

- House passed H.R. 802, an Act "To amend the Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships to implement MARPOL Annex VI" on March 26, 2007.
- H.R. 802 is presently before to the Senate Commerce, Science, and Transportation Committee for consideration.



# Annex VI SOx Limits

#### The Annex also contains fuel sulfur content limits

- 45,000 ppm generally
- 15,000 ppm for SOx Emission Control Areas (SECAs)
  - » Two areas so far: Baltic Sea and North Sea
- Current global average is about 27,000 ppm
- A SECA is a mechanism available under Annex V1
- EPA, in cooperation with a number of stakeholders, including CARB and Environment Canada, is perfromin the technical background work necessary for the US to make a decision regarding applying to IMO in the future for a SECA designation





We are engaged in the IMO Annex VI negotiation process

The standards can be enforced against any ship that visits a port of a country that is a party to the convention after that port state ratifies the Annex, whether or not the flag state of the ship has ratified



# US Standards Comparison - Ships vs. Other Sources



Page 21



# **Climate Change**

IMO has a separate agenda item for climate change within the Marine Environment Protection Committee

The U.S. government is involved in these discussions





# Strategy for Sustainable Ports

- EPA has developed a Strategy for Sustainable Ports to help guide the agency as it continues to engage public port authorities and other stakeholders in voluntary efforts to reduce the environmental impacts associated with moving goods through the marine transportation system.
- The Strategy supports existing and new EPA programs and projects that will produce measurable results in 2008 and beyond.
- EPA headquarters and regional offices have made commitments to work with others to implement specific actions in the Strategy based on their priorities.



#### **Two components**

- Regulatory
- Innovative
- **Technology-driven**
- **Cost-effective**
- Helping communities achieve public health goals



# West Coast Collaborative Innovations in Clean Diesel

» Approximately \$500K for projects that reduce diesel emissions within the jurisdiction of Region 9 (California, Nevada, Arizona, Hawaii, and the U.S Pacific Islands)

» All projects must demonstrate applications, technologies, methods or approaches that are new, innovative or experimental.

» EPA anticipates awarding approximately 2-3 assistance agreements under this announcement



Marcus Peacock (EPA Deputy Administrator) and Wayne Nastri (EPA R9 Administrator) present \$300,000 award to Port of Long Beach for Hybrid Yard Hostler Project.

> WEST COAST COLLABORATIVE Public-private partnership to reduce diesel emissions

» Proposals are due February 15, 2008

» For more information, visit:

http://www.epa.gov/region09/funding/cleandiesel.html



# Diesel Emission Reduction Act Program Overview FY08

#### **National Clean Diesel Program**

\$50 Million for FY08



70% of total funding

#### **State Program**

30% of total funding

**Clean Diesel Grants Program** 

**Clean Diesel Finance Programs** 

**Clean Diesel Emerging Technologies Grants** 

State Clean Diesel Grants Program



### Authorization

- Diesel Emissions Reduction Program (also known as The Clean Diesel Programs)
- Sub-title G, Sections 791-797
- \$200M per year for five years
- Separate authorization, not CAA 103 or 105
- Allows for "implementation" rather than "demonstration"



# **Additional Information**

- More information about EPA's marine diesel engine emission control programs can be found on our website:
  - www.epa.gov/otaq/marine.htm
  - www.epa.gov/otaq/oceanvessels.htm

Contacts:

- Bryan Wood-Thomas at 202-564-1682
- Jean-Marie Revelt at 734-214-4822
- Roxanne Johnson at 415-947-4150