Grant Thornton 🕏

CEO AND CFO CERTIFICATION IN CANADA

American Association of Port Authorities May 16, 2006

Presented by: Paul Striowski, CA, CFE, Senior Manager, Business Risk Services Risk Management and Internal Audit

Regaining Investor Confidence Chronology of Events

2001/2002	Large financial reporting governance scandals
July 30, 2002	Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("SOX") signed into law in U.S.
January 16, 2004	Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA) issue multi- lateral instruments (effective March 30, 2004) – 52 - 108, 109, 110
November 26, 2004	Proposal to amend 52 – 109
February 4, 2005	Proposal to implement 52-111 & to amend 52 -109
May 16, 2005	SEC & PCAOB issue guidance on compliance emphasizing risk based approach and importance of control environment
July 29, 2005	Proposal to delay implementation of 52-111
March 10, 2006 © Grant Thornton	Proposal to not proceed with 52-111 and move some components into 52-109

2

Regaining Investor Confidence Canadian Rules

• Key elements:

– Audit Committees (MI 52-110)

- Internal Control Certifications (MI 52-109/111)
- New oversight body for external audit firms (MI 52-108)

Regaining Investor Confidence in the Capital Markets Serious Stuff

- Penalty for CEOs and CFOs giving false certificates – per OSC
- Fine of \$5 million and imprisonment for 5 years less a day
- Disgorgement of bonuses

Auditor Oversight – MI 52-108

- Canadian Public Accountability Board (CPAB) new oversight body for external audit firms
- Requires a *reporting issuer* to hire a "participating accounting firm" i.e.
 - a firm that has entered into a participation agreement with CPAB and
 - the firm is in compliance with any restrictions or sanctions imposed by the CPAB

Audit Committee Requirements – MI 52-110

Disclosures (AIF)

□ Charter, composition, financial literacy

- Policies concerning fees paid for non-audit services performed by the external auditor
- □ Rejected recommendations by full Board

Authorities

- Engage independent counsel or advisors
- External auditors directly report to audit committee

Audit Committee Requirements – MI 52-110

Composition

- Independent
- □ Financially literate
- □ At least three people

Responsibilities

- Direct oversight of external audit
- Review of external financial disclosures
- Process for anonymous concerns (whistle blower)
- Pre-approval of other services by external auditors
- Policy on hiring of staff from external audit firm 7

Current MI 52-109

Annual and Quarterly Certifications

CEO, CFO must certify five items:

- 1. Reviewed the filings
- 2. Filings do not contain any untrue statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact
- 3. Financial statements together with other financial information fairly presents in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of company

Current MI 52-109

CEO, CFO must certify five items (cont'd):

- 4. Responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting,
 - a) <u>Designed</u> such disclosure controls to provide reasonable assurance that material information is made known to CEO, CFO
 - b) <u>Designed</u> such internal controls over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding reliability of financial reporting and preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP
- 5. Disclosed in MD&A any change in internal controls over financial reporting that occurred in recent interim period that has materially affected financial reporting ⁹

Current MI 52-109

Annually, the same 5 points, except item 4 has an item

c) <u>Evaluated</u> the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period and disclosed in the annual MD&A conclusions about the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures

Expected MI 52-109

Annually, the same 5 points, except item 4 has an item

d) <u>Evaluated</u> the effectiveness of the internal controls over financial reporting as of the end of the period and disclosed in the annual MD&A the process for control evaluation and conclusions about the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting

Fair Presentation?

In view of CSA, fair presentation includes but not limited to:

- selection of appropriate accounting policies
- proper application of appropriate accounting policies
- disclosure of financial information that is informative and reasonably reflects underlying transactions, and
- includes additional disclosure necessary to provide investors with materially accurate and complete picture of financial condition, results of operations and cash flows

What is Financial Condition?

- Financial condition is not defined, CSA suggests that one would consider items such as:
 - > liquidity, solvency, capital resources
 - verall financial health of the company
 - current and future considerations, events, risks or uncertainties that might impact financial health of company

What are Disclosure Controls and Procedures?

Disclosure controls and procedures:

- controls and other procedures designed to provide <u>reasonable assurance</u> that information to be disclosed in filings or other reports filed is <u>recorded</u>, <u>processed</u>, <u>summarized and reported within time periods specified</u> in securities legislation
- controls and procedures designed to ensure that <u>information required to be disclosed</u> in filings or other reports filed is <u>accumulated and communicated to CEO</u> <u>and CFO to allow timely decisions</u> regarding required disclosure

What are Internal Controls over Financial Reporting?

Internal controls over financial reporting:

- processes designed and effected by Board, management, other staff to provide <u>reasonable</u> <u>assurance</u> regarding <u>reliability of financial reporting and</u> <u>preparation of financial statements for external</u> <u>purposes</u> in accordance with GAAP and includes:
 - Records maintained that accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets
 - Transactions are properly recorded to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP
 - Receipts and expenditures are authorized by management and directors
 - Prevent or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets

Transition Period – Current 52 - 109

Provision for transition period:

- Certificates required for years beginning on or after January 1, 2004
- □ However phase in requires a "bare" vs. modified vs. full certificate
- □ Bare = only certify first 3 statements
- Modified = excludes internal control over financial reporting (ICFR)

Using December 31, 2005 year end:

- □ File bare annual certificate for December 31, 2004
- □ File bare interims for March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005, Sept. 30, 2005
- □ Modified annual certificate for December 31, 2005
- □ Modified interims for March 31, 2006, June 30, 2006, Sept. 30, 2006
- □ First full annual for December 31, 2006
- □ Full interims for 2007

□ Thereafter ... tbd.



What to certify and when

Certify as to	Bare	Full	Bare	Full
	Interim	Interim	Annual	Annual
Reviewed the filing	1	1	√	✓
No untrue statements and no omissions of	1	1	√	√
material fact				
Presents fairly financial condition, operations,	1	√	√	✓
cash flows				
Designed disclosure controls and procedures		√		✓
Designed controls over financial reporting		1		√
Evaluated effectiveness of disclosure controls				√
Disclosed changes in internal controls in interim		1		√
period				

MI 52-109 (Modified – IN/OUT)

CEO, CFO must certify five items (cont'd):

- 4. Responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, and have:
 - a) <u>Designed</u> such disclosure controls to provide reasonable assurance that material information is made known to CEO, CFO
 - b) <u>Designed</u> such internal controls over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding reliability of financial reporting and preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP
 - c) <u>Evaluated</u> the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period and disclosed in the annual MD&A conclusions about the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures
- 5. Disclosed in interim MD&A any change in internal controls over financial reporting that occurred in recent interim period that has or may materially affected financial reporting

A Recap (Current Law: 52-109)

What to certify and when

Certify as to	Bare Interim	Full Interim	Bare Annual	Full Annual
Reviewed the filing	V	V	V	V
No untrue statements and no omissions of material fact	V	V	Ń	V
Presents fairly financial condition, operations, cash flows	V	1	Ń	V
Designed disclosure controls and procedures		Ń		1
Designed controls over financial reporting		Ń		N
Evaluated effectiveness of disclosure controls				~
Disclosed changes in internal controls in interim period		1		Å

Proposed MI 52-111

- MI 52-111 had required:
 - CEO/CFO to issue a report that provides management's assessment of effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting using a suitable control framework and disclosing all material weaknesses;
 - Board of Directors to approve report before it is filed;
 - Opinion on management's assessment program and conclusions from external auditors; and
 - Maintenance of evidence of management's documentation & evaluation of internal control effectiveness
- Effective dates depended on Market Capitalization over 20 days ending June 30, 2005

Proposed MI 52-111 Effective Dates

- MC > \$500 million
- MC: \$250 500 million
- MC: \$75 250 million
 June 29, 2008
- MC: < \$75 million

MC = MarketCapitalization @ June 30, 2005

- Years ending after June 29, 2006
- June 29, 2007
- June 29, 2009

Proposed MI 52-109 Issues

- No Reference to/use of a Framework
- No Auditor attestation
- No Exemptions
- Bill 198 civil liability

Other Control Certification Issues

- More costly proportionally for smaller companies
- Value perceived to be low
- Large drain on internal employees

- COSO Guidance for Smaller Public Companies
- PCAOB, SEC, CSA and Consultants' learnings from SOX
- Top-down risk based approach



COSO's Guidance

- Control Principles based
- Generic examples & techniques
- Documentation guidance
- Lessons learned
- Risk focus



COSO's Guidance - Emphasis

- Control environment extremely important
- Holistic risk approach/systemic review
- Audit Committee
- Software/templates
- Benefits



Conclusions

- 2006 requirements are onerous
- Control environment critical
- Risk focus
- Lots to document but KIS
- Costs relatively high but match risk
- Benefits exist opportunity to improve
- Light at the end of the tunnel

© Grant Thornton

Questions

